PS2 19:16 (Pincl. 3/07)

# UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF THESIS	/ UNDERGRADUATE PROJECT PAPER AND COPYRIGHT	
Author's full name : <u>R</u>	ohizat Ariffin	
Date of birth : 2	2 January 1969	
Title : 2 E	Nowable Interface Slip of Composite Slab to Achieve ull Interaction Behaviour	
Academic Session : 2	009/2010	
I declare that this thesis is cla	ssified as :	
CONFIDENTIAL	(Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*	
RESTRICTED	(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*	
	I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (full text)	
<ol> <li>acknowledged that Universiti Teknologi Malaysia reserves the right as follows:</li> <li>The thesis is the property of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.</li> <li>The Library of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.</li> <li>The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.</li> </ol>		
690129-08-5545 (NEW IC NO. /PASSPOR	Certified by :	
Date : 23 April 2010	Date: 23/4/2010	

NOTES : If the thesis is CONFIDENTAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction. "I declare that I have read through this project report and to my opinion this report is adequate in term of scope and quality for the purpose of awarding the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil - Structure)"

Signature Name of Supervisor : - Date

.1

:

2

fmf.rf p

DR. IZNI SYAHRIZAL IBRAHIM 23/4/2010

# ALLOWABLE INTERFACE SLIP OF COMPOSITE SLAB TO ACHIEVE FULL INTERACTION BEHAVIOUR

ROHIZAT ARIFFIN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil – Structure)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > April, 2010

I declare that this project report-entitled "Allowable Interface Slip of Composite slab to Achieve Full Interaction Behaviour" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The report has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any degree.

Signature ROHIZAT ARIFFIN Name ÷ 23rd APRIL 2010 Date ÷

Specially dedicated to my beloved wife and families

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Izni Syahrizal bin Ibrahim, for his guidance and advise throughout the completion of this experimental study.

Secondly, huge thanks to Mr. Ng Kok Peng, Mr. Tang Lai Peng, Ir. Chu Kiun Heng and En. Mohd Zainuddin of Eastern Pretech Sdn Bhd for their assistance in providing the test specimens for the experimental study. I would also like to thanks to Dr. Zuhairi, En Naim, En Termidzi and all the technicians of Construction Research Institute of Malaysia (CREAM) for providing the necessary experimental facilities and assistance during the test.

Lastly, deep appreciation to my wife and families who provided logistic and emotional support, as well as tolerated my absences from the family activities during completion of this experimental study.

#### ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the experimental study to determine allowable interface slip of composite slab to achieve full interaction behaviour. For a composite member to act monolithically, a good connection between the two components is essential. The monolithic behaviour is possible only if the horizontal shear is effectively transferred and no horizontal slip occurs along the contact surface of the components. This could be obtained by providing shear stirrups to resist horizontal movement between the components. However, when in-situ concrete is cast on a precast unit there is usually no mechanical key in the form of reinforcement provided between the two types of concrete. Reliance has to be made on the bond and shear strength between the contact surfaces. Tests usually show that interface slip does occur when they are bent in flexure, thus act as a partially composite member. To extend the data regarding interface slip of composite slab available in the literature, the combined bending and shear test were carried-out on precast prestressed hollowcore unit (HCU) with in-situ concrete topping. Seven HCU with various surface roughness (smooth as-cast, longitudinal and transverse roughened) including one unit with shear keys for a control slab were cast with 45 mm and 75 mm thick in-situ concrete toppings. The test results clearly showed the significant effect of surface roughness and concrete topping on ultimate shear capacity and interface slip of the composite slabs. Averagely, the ultimate shear capacity of 75 mm thick concrete topping specimen was 22% to 32% higher than the 45 mm thick concrete topping specimen. The smooth as-cast surface for both 45 mm and 75 mm thick concrete topping specimens experienced the largest slip as compared to the other specimens. By comparing the design interface slip proposed by Cholewicki to the experimental interface slip, the study suggested 0.10 mm and 0.18 mm as the allowable interface slip for the 75 mm and 45 mm thick concrete topping, respectively to achieve full composite interaction behaviour.

#### ABSTRAK

Tesis ini membincangkan kajian terhadap had gelangsar permukaan di antara komponen di dalam papak rencam untuk memastikan sifat rencam penuh dicapai. Untuk memastikan sifat rencam dicapai, keadaan permukaan sambungan yang baik di antara komponen di dalam papak rencam adalah perlu. Ianya boleh dicapai sekiranya daya ricih mengufuk dapat diagih dengan berkesan di antara komponen yang bersentuh dan tiada gelangsar berlaku. Tetulang ricih kebiasaannya disediakan komponen untuk mengelakkan gelangsar di antara daripada berlaku. Walaubagaimanapun, apabila konkrit tuang di-situ digunakan ke atas konkrit pratuang, tetulang ricih kebiasaannya tidak disediakan. Had gelangsar adalah bergantung kepada kekuatan sambungan dan daya ricih mengufuk di antara komponen itu sendiri. Ujikaji terdahulu menunjukkan bahawa gelangsar di antara komponen di dalam papak rencam sentiasa berlaku apabila menerima daya lenturan. Bagi menambah data daripada ujikaji-ujikaji terdahulu, ujian gabungan lentur dan ricih dijalankan terhadap konkrit pra-tuang berongga bersama konkrit tuang di-situ. Tujuh unit papak konkrit pra-tuang berongga dengan kekasaran permukaan yang berbeza (licin, kekasaran memanjang dan melintang) termasuk satu unit bersama tetulang ricih sebagai papak rujukan dikonkrit bersama konkrit tuang di-situ dengan ketebalan 45 mm dan 75 mm. Keputusan ujian jelas menunjukkan kesan kekasaran permukaan dan ketebalan konkrit tuang di-situ terhadap kekuatan daya ricih muktamad papak rencam dan gelangsar di antara komponen di dalamnya. Secara purata, papak rencam bersama ketebalan 75 mm konkrit tuang di-situ menunjukkan kekuatan daya ricih uktamad 36 % lebih tinggi berbanding papak rencam bersama ketebalan 45 mm konkrit tuang di-situ. Dengan membandingkan gelangsar permukaan rekabentuk kaedah Cholewicki [11,12] terhadap gelangsar ujikaji, gelangsar permukaan maksimun 0.1 mm dan 0.18 mm di antara komponen di dalam papak rencam adalah dicadangkan untuk memastikan sifat rencam penuh dicapai.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER			TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	LARAT	ION	ii
	DED	ICATIO	<b>N</b>	iii
	ACK	NOWL	EDGEMENT	iv
	ABS	FRACT		V
	ABS	FRAK		vi
	TAB	LE OF (	CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	OF TA	BLES	ix
	LIST	OF FIG	GURES	X
	LIST	OF NO	TATIONS	xii
1	<b>INTR</b> 1.1	<b>RODUC</b> ' Backg	<b>ΓΙΟΝ</b> round	1
	1.2	Proble	m Statement	2
	1.3	Object	ives of Study	3
	1.4	Scope	s of Study	4
	1.5	Import	tance of Study	4
	1.6	Thesis	Structure	4
2	LITE	CRATU	RE REVIEW	
	2.1	Introdu	uction	5
	2.2	Interna	ational Codes on Interface Shear Strength	6
		2.2.1	Code of Practice BS8110	6
		2.2.2	Code of Practice ACI318	7
		2.2.3	Code of Practice Eurocode 2	8

		2.2.4 FIP Guide to Good Practice	9
	2.3	Previous Works Related to interface Shear	
		Strength and Interface Slip	10
3	EXP	ERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY	
	3.1	Introduction	24
	3.2	Test Specimens	24
	3.3	Test Set-up	27
	3.4	Test Procedure	28
	3.5	A Flow Chart of Research Methodology	29
4	EXP	ERIMENTAL RESULTS	
	4.1	Introduction	30
	4.2	Applied Load vs Deflection Relationship	30
		4.2.1 45 mm thick Concrete Topping	30
		4.2.2 75 mm thick Concrete Topping	32
	4.3	Applied Load vs Interface Slip Relationship	34
		4.3.1 45 mm thick Concrete Topping	34
		4.3.2 75 mm thick Concrete Topping	36
	4.4	Cracking Pattern	38
5	ANA	LYSIS & DISCUSSION	
	5.1	Introduction	41
	5.2	Shear Force vs Deflection Relationship	41
	5.3	Shear Force vs Interface Slip Relationship	45
6	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
	6.1	Conclusion	48
	6.2	Recommendation	49
REFERF	INCES		50
APPENG	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{i}$	etermination of $P_{ut}$	52
APPENG	$\mathbf{DIX} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{s}$	alculation of V <sub>calc</sub>	53
APPENG	$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}^{*}$	alculation of interface slip $\delta_{a}$	58
		second of incorrace sup, os	50

viii

### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Design ultimate horizontal shear stresses at interface	6
2.2	Factors depending on the roughness of the interface	8
2.3	Types of joint finish	12
2.4	Push-off test results for specimens in series I	16
2.5	Push-off test results for specimens in series II	16
2.6	Push-off test results for specimens in series I	18
2.7	Push-off test results for specimens in series II	18
2.8	Test results of interface slip at failure	21
3.1	The proposed test specimens	23
4.1	Summary of test results – 45mm thick concrete topping	28
4.2	Summary of test results – 75 mm thick concrete topping	30
4.3	Summary of test results – 45 mm thick concrete topping	31
4.4	Summary of test results – 75 mm thick concrete topping	33
5.1	Comparison of Test Results for Ultimate Shear Capacity, $V_{ult} \& V_{calc}$	38
5.2	Comparison of the experimental interface slip to design interface slip	41

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Hollowcore units (HCUs)	1
1.2	Construction of hollow-core unit with in-situ concrete topping	2
1.3	Interface slip between the HCU and in-situ concrete topping	3
2.1	Intended construction joint	8
2.2	The girder test specimens	10
2.3	The shear-deflection curves	10
2.4	The shear-slip curves	11
2.5	Details of specimens	11
2.6	Loading and instrumentation	12
2.7	Slip gage measurements	13
2.8	Beam sections	14
2.9	Effect of roughness on shear stress versus slip	14
2.10	Push-off test set-up	15
2.11	Cross section of the specimen with concrete topping	19
2.12	Test set-up	19
2.13	Shear force vs. interface slip relationship in the 665mm wide unit up to 0.5 mm	20
3.1	A control slab with shear keys	23
3.2	HCU with smooth as-cast	24

3.3	HCU with longitudinal roughened	24
3.4	HCU with transverse roughened	24
3.5	HCUs with in-situ concrete toppings	25
3.6	Test set-up	26
3.7	A flow chart of the research methodology	27
4.1	Applied load vs mid-span deflection – 45 mm thick concrete topping	28
4.2	Applied load vs mid-span deflection – 75 mm thick concrete topping	29
4.3	Applied load vs interface slip – 45 mm thick concrete topping	31
4.4	Applied load vs interface – 75 mm thick concrete topping	32
4.5 (a) – 4.5 (g)	Cracking Patterns	34 - 35
5.1 (a)	Shear force vs deflection relationship - 45 mm concrete topping	37
5.1 (b)	Shear force vs deflection relationship - 75 mm concrete topping	37
5.2 (a)	Shear force vs interface slip relationship up to 0.08 mm - 45 mm concrete topping	39
5.2 (b)	Shear force vs interface slip relationship up to 0.15 mm - 75 mm concrete topping	40

## LIST OF NOTATIONS

V <sub>ult</sub>	Ultimate shear capacity
Vcalc	Design ultimate shear capacity of a uncracked flexure section
Ι	Second moment area
A	Area of concrete
$b_w$	Width of the HCU
y <sup>'</sup>	Distance from the centroid of the section to the centroid of the area above the plane considered
fcu	Cube compressive strength of concrete
$f_t$	Concrete splitting tensile strength
$f_{ck}$	Cylinder compressive strength of concrete = $0.8 f_{cu}$
$f_{cp}$	Concrete compressive stress at the centroidal axis due to prestressing
$K_s$	Shear stiffness
$l_i$	Interface length
ω	Restraint constant
$E_c$	Modulus of elasticity of concrete (HCU)
$E_c$ '	Modulus of elasticity of concrete (topping)
$a_n$	Distance from the centroidal axis of HCU to centroidal axis of concrete topping
L	Effective span length