

**SEISMIC PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF KUALA LUMPUR AIR
TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER BY FRICTION DAMPER**

ONG PENG PHENG

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of
the degree of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil- Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2009

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF THESIS AND COPYRIGHT

Author's full name : ONG PENG PHENG

Date of birth : 16 JUNE 1982

Title : SEISMIC PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF
KUALA LUMPUR AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER
BY FRICTION DAMPER

Academic Session : 2008/ 2009

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

CONFIDENTIAL (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*

RESTRICTED (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*

OPEN ACCESS I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (full text)

I acknowledged that Universiti Teknologi Malaysia reserves the right as follows:

1. The thesis is the property of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
2. The Library of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

Certified by :

(SIGNATURE OF STUDENT)

(SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR)

820614-09-5037

PROF DR. AZLAN ADNAN

(NEW IC NUMBER/PASSPORT NO)

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date: **24 JUNE 2009**

Date: **24 JUNE 2009**

NOTES: * If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

“I hereby declare that I have read this project report and in my opinion this project report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of

Master of Civil Engineering – Structure”

Signature :

Name of Supervisor : **PROF DR. AZLAN ADNAN**

Date : **24 JUNE 2009**

“I declare that this report entitled “*Seismic Performance Analysis of Kuala Lumpur Air Traffic Control Tower by Friction Damper*” is the results of my own research except as cited in the references. The project has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name : **ONG PENG PHENG**

Date : **24 JUNE 2009**

To my beloved parents and family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In term of gratifying my accomplishment of project, I would like to express that the project would not have been completed without the assistance and support of those who guided me in the course of my master's project. In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, academicians and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my honourable supervisor, Professor Dr. Azlan Adnan, for encouragement, support, guidance, critics and friendship. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

Fore mostly, I would like to extend my thankfulness to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and librarians at for their assistance in supplying the relevant literatures and information pertaining the searching troubleshoot problem and domain.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space.

Lastly but not least, I am grateful to my family members for their love, care, support and daily encouragement, particularly my mother.

ABSTRACT

In structural earthquake engineering, different kinds of energy absorption devices were invented during last 30 years (Guan *et al*, 2004). And more than one-decade research has shown that, on account of the virtue of no power requirement, rapid response and coulomb friction principle of friction damper is one of best of them. It is used as plating friction for energy dissipation systems to reduce earthquake effect on structures. With laminated steel plates and bolt, the friction damper can provide high diagonal stiffness and flexibility in horizontal direction to ensure the mounting forces can be supported by the stresses induced on the structure and prevent excessive sideways from any horizontal loading especially when earthquake occur. This research is to study the performance of Air Traffic Control Tower of Kuala Lumpur International Airport (KLIA Control Tower) under low intensity earthquake effect of induced earthquake acceleration of 0.19g. The finite element modelling technique is used in this study to learn the behaviour of friction damper and vulnerability of loading from vertical and horizontal directions with the proposed application. Performances of the friction damper were examined based on their percentile capacity passing and inter-storey drift displacement, consisting of Beam Models and Shell Models with and without friction damper. Friction damper is designed within the lift-core and it is found that the usage of designed retrofitted friction damper increases the overall performance of the KLIA Control Tower. In general, this study indicates that the seismic risks should be considered in designing the tower for Malaysia construction and the application of the seismic retrofitting to this existing building is much needed to safeguard structure from external peak ground acceleration intensity. Therefore, it is discovered from the final analysis the friction damper is able to stiffen the structure from seismic loading in term of deformation and axial force from the intensity of 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g.

ABSTRACT

Dalam bidang kejuruteraan gempa bumi, pelbagai alatan penyerap tenaga bangunan telah dicipta selama tiga puluh tahun dahulu (Guan *et al*, 2004). Dari penyelidikan sedekad yang lalu, peralatan yang digunakan telah berubah bentuk daripada segi ketidakupayaan menggunakan tenaga, yakni, “friction damper” menggunakan prinsip coulomb merupakan antara yang terbaik dalam aplikasi penstabilan struktur. Ia digunakan dengan meletakkan pengalas besi pengesel bagi menyalurkan tenaga daripada sistem semasa gempa bumi. Dengan kepingan pengalas besi ini, “friction damper” dapat memberikan rintangan ketegaran dan kelonggaran pepenjuvu dalam arah melintang, bagi membolehkan pemusatan tenaga disokong semasa tegasan pada struktur dan mengelakkan pesongan daripada beban melintang semasa gempa bumi. Kajian ini melibatkan kesan prestasi menara kawalan udara lapangan terbang antarabangsa kuala lumpur semasa gempa bumi pada keamatan 0.19g. Model elemen terhad digunakan bagi mengaji kelakuan “friction damper” dan kerentanan daripada bebanan melintang dan menegak. Prestasi “friction damper” dikaji berpandukan kepada peratus lulus keupayaan dengan beban keamatan dan pesongan setiap tingkat, daripada model-model Beam dan Shell model dengan aplikasi “friction damper”. “Friction damper” diletakkan secara pepenjuvu mengelilingi dinding ricih. Daripada penggunaan “friction damper”, didapati retrofit menambahkan prestasi Menara Kawalan KLIA. Secara umumnya, kajian ini menunjukkan risiko seismos patut dipertimbangkan dalam rekabentuk pembinaan di Malaysia dan aplikasi retrofit ini diperlukan bagi memastikan struktur bangunan dapat melindunginya daripada puncak keamatan pecutan tanah daripada gempa bumi. Justeru, dikenalpasti “friction damper” dapat menambahkan tegasan kepada struktur bangunan seismos dalam segi daya paksi, momen, daya ricih dan pesongan bertingkat dalam puncak pecutan 0.19g, 0.29g dan 0.39g.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Location	1
	1.3 Historical Background	2
	1.4 Air Traffic Control Tower	3
	1.5 Problem Statement	4
	1.6 Objective	5
	1.7 Scope	5
	1.8 Organization of Report	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 General	7
	2.2 Main Control Tower	8
	2.3 Development OF Current Seismic Design Practice	10
	2.4 Structural Damper System	12
	2.4.1 Passive Control Devices	13
	2.4.1.1 Metal Yielding Dampers	14

	2.4.1.2 Viscoelastic Dampers	17
	2.4.1.3 Fluid Viscous Dampers	21
	2.4.1.4 Newly-Developed Control Devices	23
2.5	Retrofitting System by Friction Damper Under-Study	28
2.6	Structural Design And Control	33
2.7	Seismic Retrofitting Design	34
2.8	Summary Of Literature Review	35
3	THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	
3.1	General	37
3.2	Basic Principles	39
3.3	Frictional Dampers	44
3.4	Friction Damping Devices	45
3.5	Slip Load of Friction Damper	48
3.6	Design Criteria	49
3.7	Non-Linear Time-History Dynamic Analysis	50
3.8	Numerical Model of a Friction Damper System	50
3.9	Mathematical Formulation	53
	3.9.1 Formulation	53
	3.9.2 Material Property Matrices	59
	3.9.3 Participating Mass Ratio	60
3.10	Summary of Theoretical Background	61
4	METHODOLOGY	
4.1	General	62
4.2	Modelling by SAP2000 Version 11	64
	4.2.1 Rigidity	64
	4.2.2 Material Properties	66
	4.2.3 Energy Dissipation By Damper	67
4.3	Verification of Finite Element Technique	67

	4.3.1	Control Tower Application	69
	4.4	Data Collection	69
	4.5	Linear Response Due to Earthquake	70
	4.6	Free Vibration Analysis	71
	4.7	Response Spectrum Analysis	73
	4.8	Finite Element Analysis	74
	4.9	Summary of Methodology	75
5		RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	
	5.1	Introduction	77
	5.2	Finite Element Analysis of Section Properties	77
	5.3	RAPID-KL Time History Analysis	78
	5.4	RAPID-KL Response Spectrum Analysis	80
	5.5	Models' Signage	81
	5.6	Frame And Shell Modelling	82
	5.7	Free Vibration Analysis	84
	5.8	Beam Model	87
		5.8.1 Bending Moment, M_3	90
		5.8.2 Shear Force, V_2	93
	5.9	Shell Model	95
	5.10	Summary Of Friction Damper Energy Dissipation Rheology	102
	5.11	Drift By U1 Displacement (m)	106
	5.12	Drift By Earthquake Intensity In Summary	111
6		RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION	
	6.1	Overview	112
	6.2	Conclusions	112
	6.3	Suggestions For Future	114
		REFERENCES	116
		APPENDICES	
		APPENDIX A	120
		APPENDIX B	121
		APPENDIX C	123

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Purposes of Control Tower in order of precedence to height.	8
3.1	Structural protective systems	37
5.1	Geometrical Properties for Concrete Components	78
5.2	Geometrical Properties for Steel Components	78
5.3	Loading Combination Components	78
5.4	Mode Shape based on Period (second) Criteria	84
5.5	Mode Shape based on Frequency (Hertz) Criteria	85
5.6	Mode shape to Periods in second on Non-friction damper model	85
5.7	Mode shape to Periods in second on friction damper model	85
5.8	Beam Model capacity validation of axial force (KN) - <i>shearwall0.63thk</i> (Without Damper)	87
5.9	Beam Model capacity validation of axial force (KN) - <i>shearwall0.63thk</i> (With Damper)	88
5.10	Bending Moment to percentage passing capacity in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g for elevated height	91
5.11	Shear Force to percentage passing capacity in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g for elevated height	94

5.12	Shell Model capacity validation of S11 (KN/m ²) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (Without Damper)	96
5.13	Shell Model capacity validation of S11 (KN/m ²) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (With Damper)	97
5.14	Shell Model capacity validation of S22 (KN/m ²) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (Without Damper)	99
5.15	Shell Model capacity validation of S22 (KN/m ²) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (With Damper)	100
5.16	Beam Model Capacity Validation of P (KN) - <i>braceibeam203dx102w</i> (With Damper)	103
5.17	Shell Model Capacity Validation of P (KN) - <i>braceibeam203dx102w</i> (With Damper)	103
5.18	Joints at U1 displacement in 0.19g Intensity	107
5.19	Joints at U1 displacement in 0.29g Intensity	108
5.20	Joints at U1 displacement in 0.39g Intensity	109

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	KLIA Air Traffic Control Tower (picture by Kara H., 2007)	2
2.1	View from North West Up-close	9
2.2	View from North East Up-close	9
2.3	West view of Kuala Lumpur International Airport (Kara, 2006)	10
2.4	Site view from satellite application of Google Earth as secondary support to literature review purposes	10
2.5	NEHRP Intended Performance of Seismic Use Groups (NEHRP, 2000)	11
2.6	ADAS Device	15
2.7	ADAS Device in Frame	16
2.8	Unbonded Brace Damper	16
2.9	Comparison of computed results for Wells Fargo Bank Building envelope of response values in the <i>X</i> -direction (Perry, 1993)	17
2.10	Viscoelastic Damper	19
2.11	Viscoelastic Damper in Frame	19
2.12	Fluid Viscous Damper (Taylor,1999)	22
2.13	Experimental friction damping device in frame	26

2.14a	Experimental friction damping device; Unloaded	27
2.14b	Experimental friction damping device; Rotating Under Load	27
2.15a	Proposed wall-type frictional damper and its application to the RC frame; wall-type frictional damper device	29
2.15b	Proposed wall-type frictional damper and its application to the RC frame; retrofit of R/C frame	29
2.16a	Proposed upgrading technique; Upgrade soft-story building	29
2.16b	Proposed upgrading technique; Connection between friction devices and existing structure (Martinez-Rueda and Elnashai,1995)	29
2.17	Precast frame with proposed dampers (Morgen and Kurama, 2004)	30
2.18	Subassembly experiment verification analytical model (Morgen and Kurama, 2004)	31
2.19	Detail of isolated damper test setup (Morgen and Kurama, 2004)	31
3.2	Implementation of PED in North America for seismic applications (Soong and Spencer, 2002)	38
3.3a	Conventional Structure	42
3.3b	Structure with Passive Energy Dissipation (PED)	43
3.3c	Structure with Active Control	43
3.3d	Structure with Hybrid Control	43
3.3e	Structure with Semi Active Control	44
3.4	Slotted-Bolted Friction Damper	45
3.5	Pall Cross-Type Friction Damper	46
3.6	Self-Centering Friction Damper	47

3.7	Response versus Slip Load (Pall et al, 2000)	48
3.8	Bracing-friction damper system (Lee, et al., 2007)	50
3.9	Hysteretic loop of a braced damper system with a Coulomb friction element (Lee, et al., 2007)	51
3.10	The hysteretic loop of a bracing-friction damper system including a Coulomb friction element is expressed (Garcia and Soong, 2002)	52
3.11	The friction process	54
3.12a	Illustration of the friction device	57
3.12b	Free-body diagram	57
4.1	Methodology Route for vulnerability analysis	63
4.2a	Structural Friction Damper Replica Modelling of two Control Towers; by 3D finite element model	65
4.2b	Structural Friction Damper Replica Modelling of two Control Towers by Platform level of floor height	65
4.3a	Beam element two dimensional	68
4.3b	Beam element three dimensional	68
4.4a	Shell element two dimensional	68
4.4b	Shell element three dimensional	68
4.5	Basics principle for forces	70
5.1	Time History of Rapid KL	79
5.2	Response Spectrum of Rapid KL	80
5.3a	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Shear Wall and Lobby of lift-core	81
5.3b	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Roof Top and	

	Lift-core to Operation Room	81
5.3c	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Base Shear Restraints	82
5.3d	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Control Tower Neck Level	82
5.4a	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Beam Model (Frame Element)	83
5.4b	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Beam + Brace Model (Frame Element)	83
5.4c	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Shell Model (Frame and Shell Element)	83
5.4d	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Shell + Brace Model (Frame and Shell Element)	83
5.5	Axial forces percentage to capacity limit of element <i>shearwall0.63thk.</i>	89
5.6	Percentage of passing bending moment to elevated height in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g	93
5.7	Percentage of passing Shear Force to elevated height in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g	95
5.8	Stress S11 (KN/m ²) of element <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i>	98
5.9	Stress S22 (KN/m ²) of element <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i>	101
5.10	Axial Force P (KN) of element <i>braceibeam203dx102w</i>	104
5.11	Floor heights to U1, joint displacements	

	at 0.19g intensity	107
5.12	Floor heights to U1, joint displacements at 0.29g intensity	108
5.13	Floor heights to U1, joint displacements at 0.39g intensity	109
5.14	Summary of drift in earthquake intensity Comparison	111

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TITLE

KLIA	-	Kuala Lumpur International Airport
DYMM SPB	-	Duli Yang Maha Mulia Seri Paduka Baginda
ICC	-	International Code Council
IBC	-	International Building Code
SBC	-	Standard Building Code
UBC	-	Uniform Building Code
BOCA	-	Building Officials and Code Administrators, Inc
NEHRP	-	National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program
TM	-	Trademark
ADAS	-	Added Damping and Stiffness
CA	-	United State of California
SMRF	-	Special Moment Resisting Frame
FEMA	-	Federal Emergency Management Agency
RCDF	-	Rural Communications and Development Fund
SMA	-	Shape Memory Alloys
RC	-	Reinforced Concrete
SBC	-	Slotted Bolted Connection
PED	-	Passive Energy Dissipation
VE	-	Viscoelastic
SDOF	-	Single-Degree-of Freedom
U.S.	-	United State of America
DBE	-	Design Basis Earthquake
MCE	-	Maximum Considered Earthquake
SEER	-	Engineering Seismology and Earthquake Engineering Research

LIST OF SYMBOLS

	TITLE
km^2	- Kilometre square
m	- Meter
mm	- Milimetre
KN	- Kilo Newton
N/mm^2	- Newton per millimetre square
KN/mm^2	- Kilo Newton per millimetre square
g	- Gravitational ground acceleration
U1	- Global x-direction
FE	- Finite Element
2D	- 2 Dimensions
3D	- 3 Dimensions
in	- Inch
kip	- Kilo pounds
%	- Percentage
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	- Celsius degree
$^{\circ}\text{F}$	- Fahrenheit Degree
\ddot{x}	- Ground Acceleration
\dot{x}	- Ground Velocity
x	- Ground Displacement
t	- Time/Period
Hz	- Hertz
k	- Linear elastic stiffness

m	-	Mass
c	-	Damping coefficient
Γ	-	Integro-differential operator
u	-	Displacement
\pm	-	Approximation
δ	-	Inter story drift
b	-	Brace
d	-	Damper
f	-	Shear Force/Friction coefficient
λ_i	-	Structural Dynamics Motion
\dot{U}	-	Velocity
N	-	Applied Normal Force
Δt	-	Time Step
f_y	-	Strength of Reinforcement
f_c'	-	Strength of Concrete
E	-	Modulus Elastic
G	-	Shear Modulus
ν	-	Poisson Ratio
α	-	Coefficient of Linear Thermal Expansion
y_e	-	Yield Strength
U_e	-	Tensile Strength
P	-	Axial Force
M	-	Bending Moment
V	-	Shear Force
T	-	Torsion
i.e.	-	Initialism; “in other words”
sgn	-	Signum Function

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Control towers are subjected to vibrations, especially the structure have the slender proportion and concentrated mass on top of the structure. These vibrations may arise from wind forces, earthquake excitation, machine vibrations, or many other sources. In some cases, especially under strong earthquake excitations, these vibrations can cause the structural damage or even structural collapse. The higher the inherent or natural damping in structures, the lower the likelihood the damage will be excessive. However, for structures subjected to strong vibrations, the inherent damping in the structure is not sufficient to mitigate the structural response. In many situations, supplemental damping may be used to control the response.

1.2 Location

Kuala Lumpur International Airport (KLIA) is located 50 kilometres south of Kuala Lumpur in Sepang, Selangor. Occupying a site of 100 km², it is the world's largest airport, with five 4,000-metre-long runways. The development of the airport is to achieve the prominence of a fully developed industrial nation of 2020 vision of developed status, therefore, is a great important of locale yardstick. The Figure 1.1 indicated below shows the control tower and its immediate surrounding;



Figure 1.1: KLIA Air Traffic Control Tower (picture by Kara H., 2007)

1.3 Historical Background

The area was formerly covered with forest and palm oil and rubber plantations, thus, sparsely populated. The relatively flat terrain met aeronautical requirements.

The choice of site for the airport reflected the perceived need for decentralisation, to spread growth beyond Kuala Lumpur and the Klang Valley. Besides, it is not affected by the monsoon, as it is protected by soaring mountain ranges.

The project came into being in the late 1990s, to relieve the strain on the existing Sultan Abdul Aziz Shah Airport. KLIA is currently designed to handle around 25 million passengers and one million tonnes of cargo a year. It is to be developed in three phases, building up to a final capacity of 100 million passengers per annum (Kara H., 2007).

1.4 Air Traffic Control Tower

Air traffic control tower is crucial to airborne instruction for safe departure and arrival of passengers and cargoes. KLIA tower comprises of 13-storey concrete circular frame lift-core with terminal, as well as shopping department in supplement to the ground level existing buildings. Overall height varied to 124m, and width of 8m diameter is fixed throughout liftcore, but expanded at top floors. The tower different functions consist of top level of 23rd to 30th.

Tremendous concern has been from architectural value to exhibit adequate strength, redundancy and ductility. Similarly, the earthquake resistance of the existing structures was significantly less than that required by the current building codes. Since airports are of post disaster importance, extremely recommended that the air tower structures be upgraded along with the oncoming new expansion (Malhotra et al., 2004).

Conventional methods of seismic rehabilitation with concrete shearwalls or rigid steel bracing were not considered suitable for this air tower as upgrades with these methods would have required expensive and time consuming foundation work (Cho and Kwon, 2004). Supplemental damping in conjunction with appropriate stiffness offered an innovative and attractive solution for the seismic rehabilitation of this tower. This can be achieved by introducing Friction Dampers in steel bracing.

1.5 Problem Statement

Currently, Malaysia has never been using friction damper devices in retrofitting or designing. The vibration from seismic loading has not concerned the local construction practitioner simply there is lack of knowledge. The purpose is to make sure that the application will salvage the seismic action onto the overall structure of the control tower.

Bearing with this complication, it is identified that the problem is persisted on four fronts, basically,

1. Air traffic tower (control tower) at Kuala Lumpur International Airport need to be analysed for seismic resistance, since the behaviour of the structure has not been understood.
2. Earthquake is subjected to 0.19g in Kuala Lumpur (Adnan, et al., 1998), Malaysia, therefore, earthquake design should be incorporated in analysis, but it has not been done before in Malaysia construction industry. Therefore, it applies to the existing structure of the control tower as well.
3. Strengthening of structure and the dissipating energy with seismic resistance devices (friction damper) is not fully apprehended, and revised.
4. Finite Element modelling technique in 3 dimension has not been used before. Therefore, to emulate the real behaviour by eigenvector is a new way to understand the behaviour of the tower.

1.6 Objective

The objectives of the study are;

1. To propose friction damper arrangement in the structure,
2. To model the structure in finite element software of SAP2000,
3. To examine the seismic vulnerability of the structure,
4. To identify friction damper energy dissipation, and
5. To understand the behaviour of the structure.

1.7 Scope

This study can be divided into three main areas;

1. Performance of overall control tower at KLIA.
2. Response of seismic acceleration at induced 0.19g is imposed at U1 direction only.
3. Performance of Pall friction damper.

1.8 Organization of Report

The study procurements of the objectives and scopes are explained as below;

Stage 1: Clarification of the project on the objectives and scopes of the study.

It is to verify the feasibility of the study outcomes and planning of methodologies in efficient dissertation of input and output.

Stage 2: Literatures, collecting data and modelling of structures.

Initial study has to understand the behaviour of the tower and best solution for retrofitting it. Knowing the performance of the tower structure in an earthquake loading is essential to assume the structure behave according to literature findings. Obtaining the information regarding the model beforehand to spearhead the modelling technique is part of the requirement in successful overall analysis.

Stage 3: Verification of retrofitting devices and methods of finite element modelling.

The purpose of the process is to identify suitable and applicable retrofitting devices, which is the friction damper and proposal of location to retrofit. Theoretical background of the device is included to verify the concept of work on the device. Material properties and design methods have to be determined to obtain correct mode shapes. Beam and shell element in multi-degree of freedom is used in 3D Finite Element models with SAP2000 computer programs. While, quadruple models are to be erected as the overall tower system, namely, undamped and damped Beam Model, undamped and damped Shell Model, in verifying of the correct earthquake signals.

The models are proposed with (damped) and without (undamped) friction damper for comparison purposes.

Stage 4: Vulnerability assessment of modelling and response analyses.

The finite element analyses primarily based on linear material behaviour are compared with the hand calculation to check for the capacity. The characteristics of stiffness are evaluated in the present of friction damper. Response spectrum of KL-Rapid time history analysis is argued in this methodology.

Stage 5: Discussion and conclusion.

Summary on the project with regards to the low intensity earthquake at 0.19g of the proposed retrofitting device will be finalised. Comments on the further improvement to the study are to be enumerated.