

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS^u

JUDUL: COMPARISON BETWEEN BS 5950: PART 1: 2000 & EUROCODE 3 FOR
THE DESIGN OF MULTI-STOREY BRACED STEEL FRAME

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2006 / 2007

Saya CHAN CHEE HAN
(HURUF BESAR)

mengaku membenarkan tesis (~~PSM/ Sarjana/ Doktor Falsafah~~)* ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknologi Malaysia dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknologi Malaysia dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. **Sila tandakan (✓)

SULIT


(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam (AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/ badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh



(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)



(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Alamat Tetap:

PETI SURAT 61162,91021 TAWAU,SABAH.PM DR. IR. MAHMOOD MD. TAHIR

Nama Penyelia

Tarikh : 01 NOVEMBER 2006Tarikh: 01 NOVEMBER 2006

CATATAN: * Potong yang tidak berkenaan.

** Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/ organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

^u Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan, atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM).

“I hereby declare that I have read this project report and in my opinion this project report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil – Structure).”

Signature :  :-----
Name of Supervisor : P.M. Dr. Ir. Mahmood Md. Tahir
Date : 01 NOVEMBER 2006

COMPARISON BETWEEN BS 5950: PART 1: 2000 & EUROCODE 3 FOR THE
DESIGN OF MULTI-STOREY BRACED STEEL FRAME


CHAN CHEE HAN

A project report submitted as partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil – Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER, 2006

I declare that this project report entitled “*Comparison Between BS 5950: Part 1: 2000 & Eurocode 3 for The Design of Multi-Storey Braced Steel Frame*” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The report has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :  -----
Name : Chan Chee Han
Date : 01 NOVEMBER 2006

To my beloved parents and siblings

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my appreciation to my thesis supervisor, PM. Dr. Ir. Mahmood Md. Tahir of the Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, for his generous advice, patience and guidance during the duration of my study.

I would also like to express my thankful appreciation to Dr. Mahmood's research students, Mr. Shek and Mr. Tan for their helpful guidance in the process of completing this study.

Finally, I am most thankful to my parents and family for their support and encouragement given to me unconditionally in completing this task.

Without the contribution of all those mentioned above, this work would not have been possible.

ABSTRACT

Reference to standard code is essential in the structural design of steel structures. The contents of the standard code generally cover comprehensive details of a design. These details include the basis and concept of design, specifications to be followed, design methods, safety factors, loading values and etc. The Steel Construction Institute (SCI) claimed that a steel structural design by using Eurocode 3 is 6 – 8% more cost-saving than using BS 5950: Part 1: 2000. This study intends to testify the claim. This paper presents comparisons of findings on a series of two-bay, four-storey braced steel frames with spans of 6m and 9m and with steel grade S275 (Fe 460) and S355 (Fe 510) by designed using BS 5950: Part 1: 2000 and Eurocode 3. Design worksheets are created for the design of structural beam and column. The design method by Eurocode 3 has reduced beam shear capacity by up to 4.06% and moment capacity by up to 6.43%. Meanwhile, structural column designed by Eurocode 3 has compression capacity of between 5.27% and 9.34% less than BS 5950: Part 1:2000 design. Eurocode 3 also reduced the deflection value due to unfactored imposed load of up to 3.63% in comparison with BS 5950: Part 1: 2000. However, serviceability limit states check governs the design of Eurocode 3 as permanent loads have to be considered in deflection check. Therefore, Eurocode 3 produced braced steel frames which consume 1.60% to 17.96% more steel weight than the ones designed with BS 5950: Part 1: 2000. However, with the application of partial strength connections, the percentage of difference had been reduced to the range of 0.11% to 10.95%.

ABSTRAK

Dalam rekabentuk struktur keluli, rujukan kepada kod piawai adalah penting. Kandungan dalam kod piawai secara amnya mengandungi butiran rekabentuk yang komprehensif. Butiran-butiran ini mengandungi asas dan konsep rekabentuk, spesifikasi yang perlu diikuti, cara rekabentuk, factor keselamatan, nilai beban, dan sebagainya. Institut Pembinaan Keluli (SCI) berpendapat bahawa rekabentuk struktur keluli menggunakan Eurocode 3 adalah 6 – 8% lebih menjimatkan daripada menggunakan BS 5950: Part 1: 2000. Kajian ini bertujuan menguji pendapat ini. Kertas ini menunjukkan perbandingan keputusan kajian ke atas satu siri kerangka besi terambat 2 bay, 4 tingkat yang terdiri daripada rentang rasuk 6m dan 9m serta gred keluli S275 (Fe 430) dan S355 (Fe 510). Kertas kerja komputer ditulis untuk merekabentuk rasuk dan tiang keluli. Rekebentuk menggunakan Eurocode 3 telah mengurangkan keupayaan ricih rasuk sehingga 4.06% dan keupayaan momen rasuk sebanyak 6.43%. Selain itu, tiang keluli yang direkebentuk oleh Eurocode 3 mempunyai keupayaan mampatan 5.27% – 9.34% kurang daripada rekabentuk menggunakan BS 5950: Part 1: 2000. Eurocode 3 juga mengurangkan nilai pesongan yang disebabkan oleh beban kenaan tanpa faktor sehingga 3.63% berbanding BS 5950: Part 1: 2000. Namun begitu, didapati bahawa keadaan had kebolehhidmatan mengawal rekabentuk Eurocode 3 disebabkan beban mati tanpa faktor yang perlu diambilkira dalam pemeriksaan pesongan. Justeru, Eurocode 3 menghasilkan kerangka keluli direambat yang menggunakan berat besi 1.60% – 17.96% lebih banyak daripada kerangka yang direkabentuk oleh BS 5950: Part 1: 2000. Namun begitu, penggunaan sambungan kekuatan separa telah berjaya mengurangkan lingkungan berat besi kepada 0.11% – 10.95%.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	THESIS TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
	LIST OF NOTATIONS	xv
I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of Project	3
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope of Project	4
	1.5 Report Layout	5

II LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Eurocode 3 (EC3)	6
2.1.1	Background of Eurocode 3 (EC3)	6
2.1.2	Scope of Eurocode 3: Part 1.1 (EC3)	6
2.1.3	Design Concept of EC3	7
	2.1.3.1 Application Rules of EC3	7
	2.1.3.2 Ultimate Limit State	8
	2.1.3.3 Serviceability Limit State	8
2.1.4	Actions of EC3	8
2.2	BS 5950	9
2.2.1	Background of BS 5950	9
2.2.2	Scope of BS 5950	9
2.2.3	Design Concept of BS 5950	10
	2.2.3.1 Ultimate Limit States	10
	2.2.3.2 Serviceability	10
2.2.4	Loading	11
2.3	Design of Steel Beam According to BS 5950	11
2.3.1	Cross-sectional Classification	11
2.3.2	Shear Capacity, P_v	12
2.3.3	Moment Capacity, M_c	13
	2.3.3.1 Low Shear Moment Capacity	13
	2.3.3.2 High Shear Moment Capacity	14
2.3.4	Moment Capacity of Web against Shear Buckling	15
	2.3.4.1 Web not Susceptible to Shear Buckling	15
	2.3.4.2 Web Susceptible to Shear Buckling	15
2.3.5	Bearing Capacity of Web	16
	2.3.5.1 Unstiffened Web	16
	2.3.5.2 Stiffened Web	17
2.3.6	Deflection	17
2.4	Design of Steel Beam According to EC3	18
2.4.1	Cross-sectional Classification	18
2.4.2	Shear Capacity, $V_{pl,Rd}$	19
2.4.3	Moment Capacity, $M_{c,Rd}$	20

2.4.3.1	Low Shear Moment Capacity	20
2.4.3.2	High Shear Moment Capacity	20
2.4.4	Resistance of Web to Transverse Forces	21
2.4.4.1	Crushing Resistance, $R_{y,Rd}$	21
2.4.4.2	Crippling Resistance, $R_{a,Rd}$	22
2.4.4.3	Buckling Resistance, $R_{b,Rd}$	22
2.4.5	Deflection	23
2.5	Design of Steel Column According to BS 5950	23
2.5.1	Column Subject to Compression Force	23
2.5.1.1	Effective Length, L_E	24
2.5.1.2	Slenderness, λ	24
2.5.1.3	Compression Resistance, P_c	24
2.5.2	Column Subject to Combined Moment and Compression Force	25
2.5.2.1	Cross-section Capacity	25
2.5.2.2	Member Buckling Resistance	26
2.6	Design of Steel Column According to EC3	26
2.6.1	Column Subject to Compression Force	26
2.6.1.1	Buckling Length, l	27
2.6.1.2	Slenderness, λ	27
2.6.1.3	Compression Resistance, $N_{c,Rd}$	27
2.6.1.4	Buckling Resistance, $N_{b,Rd}$	28
2.6.2	Column Subject to Combined Moment and Compression Force	29
2.6.2.1	Cross-section Capacity	29
2.6.2.2	Member Buckling Resistance	30
2.7	Conclusion	
2.7.1	Structural Beam	31
2.7.2	Structural Column	32

III METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	34
-----	--------------	----

3.2	Structural Analysis with <i>Microsoft Excel</i> Worksheets	35
3.3	Beam and Column Design with <i>Microsoft Excel</i> Worksheets	36
3.4	Structural Layout & Specifications	38
	3.4.1 Structural Layout	38
	3.4.2 Specifications	39
3.5	Loadings	40
3.6	Factor of Safety	41
3.7	Categories	42
3.8	Structural Analysis of Braced Frame	42
	3.8.1 Load Combination	42
	3.8.2 Shear Calculation	43
	3.8.3 Moment Calculation	44
3.9	Structural Beam Design	46
	3.9.1 BS 5950	47
	3.9.2 EC 3	51
3.10	Structural Column Design	57
	3.10.1 BS 5950	57
	3.10.2 EC 3	61

IV RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

4.1	Structural Capacity	66
	4.1.1 Structural Beam	66
	4.1.2 Structural Column	70
4.2	Deflection	73
4.3	Economy of Design	75

V CONCLUSIONS

5.1	Structural Capacity	81
	5.1.1 Structural Beam	81

5.1.2	Structural Column	82
5.2	Deflection Values	82
5.3	Economy	83
5.4	Recommendation for Future Studies	84
REFERENCES		85
APPENDIX A1		86
APPENDIX A2		93
APPENDIX B1		100
APPENDIX B2		106
APPENDIX C1		114
APPENDIX C2		120
APPENDIX D		126

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Criteria to be considered in structural beam design	31
2.2	Criteria to be considered in structural column design	32
3.1	Resulting shear values of structural beams (kN)	43
3.2	Accumulating axial load on structural columns (kN)	44
3.3	Resulting moment values of structural beams (kNm)	45
3.4	Resulting moment due to eccentricity of structural columns (kNm)	46
4.1	Shear capacity of structural beam	67
4.2	Moment capacity of structural beam	68
4.3	Compression resistance and percentage difference	71
4.4	Moment resistance and percentage difference	71
4.5	Deflection of floor beams due to imposed load	73
4.6	Weight of steel frame designed by BS 5950	75
4.7	Weight of steel frame designed by EC3	76
4.8	Total steel weight for the multi-storey braced frame design	76
4.9	Percentage difference of steel weight (ton) between BS 5950 design and EC3 design	77
4.10	Weight of steel frame designed by EC3 (Semi-continuous)	78
4.11	Total steel weight of the multi-storey braced frame design (Revised)	79
4.12	Percentage difference of steel weight (ton) between BS 5950 design and EC3 design (Revised)	79

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Schematic diagram of research methodology	37
3.2	Floor plan view of the steel frame building	38
3.3	Elevation view of the intermediate steel frame	39
4.1(a)	Bending moment of beam for rigid construction	80
4.1(b)	Bending moment of beam for semi-rigid construction	80
4.1(c)	Bending moment of beam for simple construction	80

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A1	Frame Analysis Based on BS 5950	86
A2	Frame Analysis Based on EC3	93
B1	Structural Beam Design Based on BS 5950	100
B2	Structural Beam Design Based on EC3	106
C1	Structural Column Design Based on BS 5950	114
C2	Structural Column Design Based on EC3	120
D	Structural Beam Design Based on EC3 (Revised)	126

LIST OF NOTATIONS

BS 5950: PART 1: 2000

EUROCODE 3

Axial load	F	N_{Sd}
Shear force	F_v	V_{Sd}
Bending moment	M	M_{Sd}
Partial safety factor	γ	γ_{M0} γ_{M1}
Radius of gyration		
- Major axis	r_x	i_y
- Minor axis	r_y	i_z
Depth between fillets	d	d
Compressive strength	p_c	f_c
Flexural strength	p_b	f_b
Design strength	p_y	f_y
Slenderness	λ	λ
Web crippling resistance	P_{crip}	$R_{a,Rd}$
Web buckling resistance	P_w	$R_{b,Rd}$
Web crushing resistance	-	$R_{y,Rd}$
Buckling moment resistance	M_{bx}	$M_{b,y,Rd}$
Moment resistance at major axis	M_{cx}	$M_{c,y,Rd}$ $M_{pl,y,Rd}$
Shear resistance	P_v	$V_{pl,y,Rd}$
Depth	D	h
Section area	A_g	A
Effective section area	A_{eff}	A_{eff}
Shear area	A_v	A_v

Plastic modulus		
- Major axis	S_x	$W_{pl.y}$
- Minor axis	S_y	$W_{pl.z}$
Elastic modulus		
- Major axis	Z_x	$W_{el.y}$
- Minor axis	Z_y	$W_{el.z}$
Flange	b/T	c/t_f
Web	d/t	d/t_w
Width of section	B	b
Effective length	L_E	l
Flange thickness	T	t_f
Web thickness	t	t_w

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Structural design is a process of selecting the material type and conducting in-depth calculation of a structure to fulfill its construction requirements. The main purpose of structural design is to produce a safe, economic and functional building. Structural design should also be an integration of art and science. It is a process of converting an architectural perspective into a practical and reasonable entity at construction site.

In the structural design of steel structures, reference to standard code is essential. A standard code serves as a reference document with important guidance. The contents of the standard code generally cover comprehensive details of a design. These details include the basis and concept of design, specifications to be followed, design methods, safety factors, loading values and etc.

In present days, many countries have published their own standard codes. These codes were a product of constant research and development, and past experiences of experts at respective fields. Meanwhile, countries or nations that do not publish their own standard codes will adopt a set of readily available code as the national reference. Several factors govern the type of code to be adopted, namely suitability of application of the code set in a country with respect to its culture, climate and national preferences; as well as the trading volume and diplomatic ties between these countries.

Like most of the other structural Eurocodes, Eurocode 3 has developed in stages. The earliest documents seeking to harmonize design rules between European countries were the various recommendations published by the European Convention for Constructional Steelwork, ECCS. From these, the initial draft Eurocode 3, published by the European Commission, were developed. This was followed by the various parts of a pre-standard code, ENV1993 (ENV stands for EuroNorm Vornorm) issued by Comité Européen de Normalisation (CEN) – the European standardisation committee. These preliminary standards of ENV will be revised, amended in the light of any comments arising out of its use before being reissued as the EuroNorm standards (EN). As with other European standards, Eurocodes will be used in public procurement specifications and to assess products for ‘CE’ (Conformité Européen) mark.

The establishment of Eurocode 3 will provide a common understanding regarding the structural steel design between owners, operators and users, designers, contractors and manufacturers of construction products among the European member countries. It is believed that Eurocode 3 is more comprehensive and better developed compared to national codes. Standardization of design code for structural steel in Malaysia is primarily based on the practice in Britain. Therefore, the move to withdraw BS 5950 and replace with Eurocode 3 will be taking place in the country as soon as all the preparation has completed.

Codes of practice provide detailed guidance and recommendations on design of structural elements. Buckling resistance and shear resistance are two major elements of structural steel design. Therefore, provision for these topics is covered in certain sections of the codes. The study on Eurocode 3 in this project will focus on the subject of moment and shear design.

1.2 Background of Project

The arrival of Eurocode 3 calls for reconsideration of the approach to design. Design can be complex, for those who pursue economy of material, but it can be simplified for those pursuing speed and clarity. Many designers feel depressed when new codes are introduced (Charles, 2005). There are new formulae and new complications to master, even though there seems to be no benefit to the designer for the majority of his regular workload.

The increasing complexity of codes arises due to several reasons; namely earlier design over-estimated strength in a few particular circumstances, causing safety issues; earlier design practice under-estimated strength in various circumstances affecting economy; and new forms of structure evolve and codes are expanded to include them.

However, simple design is possible if a scope of application is defined to avoid the circumstances and the forms of construction in which strength is over-estimated by simple procedures. Besides, this can be achieved if the designer is not too greedy in the pursuit of the least steel weight from the strength calculations. Finally, simple design is possible if the code requirements are presented in an easy-to-use format, such as the tables of buckling stresses in existing BS codes.

The Steel Construction Institute (SCI), in its publication of “eurocodesnews” magazine has claimed that a steel structural design by using Eurocode 3 is 6 – 8% more cost-saving than using BS 5950. Lacking analytical and calculative proof, this project is intended to testify the claim.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this project are:

- 1) To compare the difference in the concept of the design using BS 5950: Part 1: 2000 and Eurocode 3.
- 2) To study on the effect of changing the steel grade from S275 to S355 in Eurocode 3.
- 3) To compare the economy aspect between the designs of both BS 5950: Part 1: 2000 and Eurocode 3.

1.4 Scope of Project

The project focuses mainly on the moment and shear design on structural steel members of a series four-storey, 2 bay braced frames. This structure is intended to serve as an office building. All the beam-column connections are to be assumed simple. The standard code used here will be Eurocode 3, hereafter referred to as EC3. A study on the basis and design concept of EC3 will be carried out. Comparison to other steel structural design code is made. The comparison will be made between the EC3 with BS 5950: Part 1: 2000, hereafter referred to as BS 5950.

The multi-storey steel frame will be first analyzed by using *Microsoft Excel* worksheets to obtain the shear and moment values. Next, design spreadsheets will be created to calculate and design the structural members.

1.5 Report Layout

The report will be divided into five main chapters.

Chapter I presents an introduction to the study. Chapter II presents the literature review that discusses the design procedures and recommendations for steel frame design of the codes EC3 and BS 5950. Chapter III will be a summary of research methodology. Results and discussions are presented in Chapter IV. Meanwhile, conclusions and recommendations are presented in Chapter V.