PSZ 19:16 (Pind. 1/07)

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DECLARATIC	ON OF THE	SIS / MASTER PROJECT PAPER AND COPYRIGHT
Author's full name :	PAN HO	W YEN
Date of birth	30.8.1980	6
Title :	ANALYS GROUNI USING U	SIS OF THREE DIMENSIONAL D STABILITY DUE TO EXCAVATION JPPER BOUND THEOREM
Academic Session :	2010/201	1-3
I declare that this the	sis is classifi ENTIAL	ied as : (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*
RESTRICT	ED	(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*
V OPEN AC	CCESS	I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (full text)
l acknowledged tha	t Universiti 1	Teknologi Malaysia reserves the right as follows:
 The thesis is th The Library of of research o 	ne property Universiti Te nly.	of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. eknologi Malaysia has the right to make copies for the purpose
3. The Library hc	as the right	to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.
		Certified by :
SIGNAT	URE	SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR
860830-38 (NEW IC NO. /	8-5385 /PASSPORT	DR HISHAM MOHAMAD NO.) NAME OF SUPERVISOR
Date : 19 JULY 20)11	Date : 19 JULY 2011

NOTES : * If the thesis is CONFIDENTAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

"I hereby declare that I have read this project report and in my opinion this project report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil - Geotechnics)".

Signature	:	
Name of Supervisor	:	DR. HISHAM MOHAMAD
Date	:	19 JULY 2011

ANALYSIS OF THREE DIMENSIONAL GROUND STABILITY DUE TO EXCAVATION USING UPPER BOUND THEOREM

PAN HOW YEN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil - Geotechnics)

FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

JULY 2011

I declare that this project report entitled "*Analysis of Three Dimensional Ground Stability Due To Excavation Using Upper Bound Theorem*" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The report has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature:Name: PAN HOW YENDate: 19 JULY 2011

To my beloved mother and father

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, seniors, supervisors, academicians and so on. They have helped me a lot in understanding and thoughts. Especially, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Dr. Hisham Mohamad for his guidance, critics, encouragement and friendship. Moreover, I am also thankful to all the classmates in Geotechnical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for their guidance and advices.

I am also wanted to thank to Library of Sultanah Zanariah in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing relevant books and journal papers. Without all these reading materials, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

My fellow teammate, who is under supervision of Dr. Hisham Mohamad should be recognized for her supports and advices. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my friends and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. A special thanks to my family members for supporting my study.

ABSTRACT

Three-dimensional limit analysis of vertical slope is presented based on the two-dimensional approaches defined by Chen (1975). It starts with extending the two-dimension translational and rotational failure mechanisms into three-dimension along the axis that is perpendicular to the failure block in this paper. Then establishing kinematically admissible velocity field based on the new geometry of failure mechanism. Tresca's materials and Coulomb's materials are considered in the upper bound solution. A single rigid block system with finite width and energy dissipation taking place along the surface discontinuities are assumed in the three-dimension failure mechanism. The generated formulae are presented in functions of vertical slope height and stability factors. Results of the analysis are presented in graphical and table forms to show the practical range of the parameters. In practice, stability factor charts can be applied to evaluate the excavation in narrow area.

ABSTRAK

Tiga dimensi analisis untuk cerun tegak dikemukakan berdasarkan dua dimensi yang ditkrifkan oleh Chen (1975). Analisis ini bermula dengan melanjutkan mekanisme kegagalan translasi and putaran pada tiga-dimensi di sepanjang paksi yang bersudut tepat kepada paksi y. Seterusnya, medan halaju kinematik ditubuhkan berdasarkan geometri baru untuk mekanisme kegagalan. Tresca and Coulomb jenis tanah dipertimbangkan dalam penyelesaian ynag terikat atas. Satu blok system dengan lebar dan kelesapan tenaga mengambil tempat di sepanjang ketidakselanjaran permukaan diandaikan dalam tiga dimensi mekanisme kegagalan. Formula-formula yang dihasilkan dalam fungsi ketinggian cerun tegak and faktor-faktor kestabilan. Keputusan analisis dipersembahkan dalam bentuk grafik and jadual untuk menunjukkan praktikal rangkaian. Cakta faktor kestabilan yang dibuat boleh digunakan untuk menilai penggalian di kawasan-kawasan yang sempit.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii

1	INT	INTRODUCTION		
	1.1	Background of Study	1	
	1.2	Introduction of Upper Bound Theorem	4	
	1.3	Problem Statement	5	
	1.4	Objectives	6	
	1.5	Scopes	6	
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEWS	8	

1

	LNAIUNE KEVIEWS	0
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Principle of virtual work	10
2.3	Theoretical background of upper bound theorem	14
	2.3.1 Assumption of Limit Analysis	18

	2.4	Constraints	20
		2.4.1 Tresca's materials	20
		2.4.2 Coulomb's materials	22
		2.4.3 Failure mechanisms	24
3	МЕТ	THODOLOGY	30
	3.1	Introduction	30
	3.2	Upper bound approach	31
	3.3	Formulations of the problem	33
		3.3.1 Tresca's material of vertical slope	34
		3.3.2 Coulomb's material of vertical slope	36
	3.4	Three dimensional failure mechanisms	38
		3.4.1 Translational failure mechanism	38
		3.4.2 Rotational failure mechanism	40
4	RES	ULTS AND ANALYSIS	42
	4.1	Introduction	42
	4.2	Three-dimension of translational failure mechanism	1
		(Tresca's material)	43
	4.3	Three-dimension of circular failure mechanism	
		(Tresca's material)	48
		4.3.1 Internal energy dissipation of circular failur	e
		mechanism	49
		4.3.2 External forces of circular failure mechanism	m 52
		4.3.3 Upper bound solution of circular failure	
		mechanism	54
	4.4	Three-dimension of translational failure mechanism	1
		(Coulomb's material)	56
	4.5	Three-dimension of rotational failure mechanism	
		(Coulomb's material)	63
		4.5.1 Internal energy dissipation of rotational fail	ure
		mechanism	64

		4.5.2	External forces of rotational failure mechanism	66
		4.5.3	Upper bound solution of rotational failure	
			mechanism	70
	4.6	Comp	arisons	72
5	CON	CLUSI	ON AND RECOMMENDATIONS	80
	5.1	Concl	usion	80
	5.2	Recor	nmendations	83

REFERENCES

84

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Geometry of three-dimension translational failure mechanism of Tresca's materials	43
4.2	Critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for 3D translational failure mechanism (Tresca's material)	46
4.3	Geometry of three-dimension circular failure mechanism of Tresca's materials	49
4.4	Critical values of γH / <i>c</i> for 3D circular failure mechanism (Tresca's material)	55
4.5(a)	Critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for the unsupported vertical slope in Coulomb's material ($\phi = 5^{o}$)	59
4.5(b)	Critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for the unsupported vertical slope in Coulomb's material ($\phi = 15^{\circ}$)	60
4.5(c)	Critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for the unsupported vertical slope in Coulomb's material ($\phi = 30^{\circ}$)	60
4.6	Geometry of three-dimension rotational failure mechanism of Coulomb's materials	64
4.7	Critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for 3D rotational failure mechanism in Coulomb's material	71
4.8	Summary of formulations	73

4.9	Comparison of critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for the 3D circular	Comparison of critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for the 3D circular		
	mechanism with 3D spherical cap (Michalowski and			
	Drescher, 2009)	75		
4.10	Comparison of critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for the 3D failure			
	mechanism in Coulomb's materials ($\phi = 15^{\circ}$)	77		
4.11	Comparison of critical values of $\gamma H/c$ for the 3D failure			
	mechanism in Coulomb's materials ($\phi = 30^{\circ}$)	78		

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Stress-strain relationship for ideal and real soils	
	(Chen, 1975)	3
2.1	Two independent sets in the equation of virtual work (Chen and Liu, 1990)	11
2.2	Proof of Upper bound theorem	13
2.3	A two-wedge example of velocities jump (Chen et al, 2001)	16
2.4	Triangular rigid block surface discontinuities	
	(Michalowski, 1989)	17
2.5(a)	Stress vector and velocity jump	17
2.5(b)	Velocity discontinuity as thin material layer	17
2.5(c)	velocity discontinuity as shock (Drescher, 1989)	17
2.6	Typical stress-strain curves and perfectly plastic idealization (Chen and Liu, 1990)	19
2.7	Pictorial relationship between yield surface and flow rules	
	(Chen and Liu, 1990)	20
2.8	Narrow transition layer of Tresca's material (Chen, 1975)	21
2.9	Mohr-Coulomb failure contour (Goldscheider, 1984)	22
2.10	Cone shape of Drucker and Prager model	23

2.11	Orientation of plastic vectors associated with flow rule	
	(Chen et al, 2001)	23
2.12	Narrow transition layer of Coulomb's material (Chen, 1975)	24
2.13(a)	Cylindrical failure mechanism	25
2.13(b)	Section of vertical slope (Ugai, 1985)	25
2.14	3-D critical stability factor vs. Failure length in the case in which the axis of rotation is A-A'(Ugai, 1985)	26
2.15(a)	One-block mechanism (Drescher, 1983)	27
2.15(b)	Cylindrical slope (Drescher, 1989)	27
2.16(a)	Horn-shape failure mechanisms	28
2.16(b)	Alternative mechanism (Michalowski and Drescher, 2009)	28
2.17(a)	Torus-type failure mechanisms	28
2.17(b)	Alternative mechanisms (Michalowski and Drescher, 2009)	29
2.18(a)	Schematic diagram of the 3D mechanism	29
2.18(b)	Mechanism with plane insert (Michalowski and Drescher, 2009)	29
3.1	Tresca's failure envelopes and normality condition	35
3.2	The orientation of plastic vectors determined based on associated flow rule (Chen <i>et al</i> , 2001)	37
3.3	Three-dimension of translational failure mechanism	39
3.4	Three-dimension of circular failure mechanism (Tresca's material)	40

3.5	Three-dimension of rotational failure mechanism	
	(Coulomb's material)	41
4.1	Three-dimensional translational failure mechanism	42
	(Tresca's material)	43
4.2	Stability factor vs. width-height ratio in 3D translational	
	failure mechanism (Tresca's material)	47
4.3	Three-dimensional circular failure mechanism	
	(Tresca's material)	48
4.4	Side view of internal energy disspation of circular	
	failure mechansim	49
4.5	Three-dimension of the moving direction of relative velocity	50
4.6	Three-dimension of external forces in circular failur mechansim	53
4.7	Stability factor vs. width-height ratio in 3D circular failure	
	mechanism (Tresca's material)	55
4.8	Three-dimensional translational failure mechanism	
	(Coulomb's material)	56
4.9(a)	Cross-section of 3D translational mechanism	57
4.9(b)	Front-section of 3D translational mechanism	57
4.9(c)	Hodograph	57
4.10(a)	Stability factor vs. width-height ratio in 3D translational	
	failure mechanism ($\phi = 5^{\circ}$)	61
4.10(b)	Stability factor vs. width-height ratio in 3D translational	
	failure mechanism ($\phi = 15^{\circ}$)	62

4.10(c)	Stability factor vs. width-height ratio in 3D translational			
	failure mechanism ($\phi = 30^\circ$)	62		
4.11	Three-dimensional rotational failure mechanism			
	(Coulomb's material)	63		
4.12	Side view of internal energy disspation of rotational			
	failure mechanism	64		
4.13(a)	Small sector along the AC log-spiral surface	67		
4.13(b)	Sector of OBC	67		
4.13(c)	Sector of OAB	67		
4.14	Critical value of $\gamma H/c$ for 3D rotational failure mechanism			
	(varies with ϕ)	71		
4.15	Graphical results of comparisons for critical value of $\gamma H/c$			
	for 3D circular failure mechanism with 3D spherical cap			
	(Michalowski and Drescher, 2009)	76		
4.16	Graphical results of comparisons for critical value of $\gamma H/c$			
	for 3D failure mechanism in Coulomb's materials ($\phi = 15^{\circ}$)	77		
4.17	Graphical results of comparisons for critical value of $\gamma H/c$			
	for 3D failure mechanism in Coulomb's materials ($\phi = 30^{\circ}$)	79		

LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ_{ij}	-	Stress rate
δ_{ij}	-	Strain rate
F	-	body forces
T^*	-	external load
As	-	surface area
Г	-	velocity discontinuity
V^*	-	velocity field
dD	-	rate of energy dissipation
δΙ	-	rate of work done by internal energy dissipation
W	-	body force from the self-weight
δ_u	-	tangential relative velocity
dV	-	volume of the single rigid block in three-dimension
τ	-	shear strength
S _u	-	undrained shear strength
δ_w	-	relative displacement
δθ	-	relative change of rotational angle
R	-	radius
С	-	cohesion
σ_n	-	normal stress
ϕ	-	frictional angle
v_n	-	normal velocity vector
v_t	-	tangential velocity vector
u	-	pore water pressure
Н	-	Height of the vertical slope
β	-	Inclined angle of failure mechanism

b	-	Width of the three dimension vertical slope
γ	-	unit weight
δ_{u_k}	-	relative tangential velocity depends on k
R _k	-	radius depends on the segments.
δΕ	-	rate of work done by external forces
L	-	length of failure mechanism
dz	-	rate of width
ω	-	angular velocity

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Slope stability analysis is one of the main concerns in geotechnical engineering. Therefore, predictions of height and limit load form an important part in slope stability analysis. In the slope stability problems, excavation works often viewed as the main earthwork activities. There are many researchers have been studying the safety margin, collapse load and critical height for the slope stability problems. Basically, slope stability problems can be distinguished into four types which are factor of safety, limit load, critical height and optimal shape of slope (Michalowski, 1989).

In order to solve the base stability problems, generally some basic conditions are needed to derive the solutions such as stress-strain relationship, stress equilibrium equations and strain-displacement equations. In soil mechanics, various method of analysis has been discussed to find the solution for the stability problems. However, they have different requirements and limitations. Limit equilibrium method was introduced by Terzaghi (1943). This method has been used extensively in solving the slope stability problems but it inherited some weaknesses such as neglects the soil stress-strain relationship (H.Y Fang, 1991). This method has some similarities with the lower bound theorem in limit analysis method. For instances, they both are satisfying equilibrium condition and force. Therefore limit equilibrium method often used to determine the stability of the slope cut by assuming the failure surface.

In 1952, Drucker and Prager started to use limit analysis method in solving slope stability problems. It consists of upper bound and lower bound theorem was then refined and extended its applications by Chen (1975). Limit analysis method was found conveniently to solve slope stability problems in different types of failure mode. Up to the present, limit analysis method often used to deal with the slope stability problems in two dimensional. Plane strain condition is applied in two dimensional by assuming infinite length that is perpendicular to the failure plane. Three dimensional failure mechanisms are less common in analysis. Previous studies of three-dimension slope stability analysis can be divided into three categories: extension of limit equilibrium method, numerical approaches and limit analysis. Upper bound theorem in limit analysis is getting more popular in the research because of the convenience and provides a close approximation to the true collapse condition.

Regarding to the slope stability, simplifications and idealizations are required to establish an appropriate solution. For instances, solution of limit analysis must satisfy soil behavior, equations of equilibrium, compatibility and boundary conditions so that real collapse condition can be predicted. The soil material is idealized as perfectly plastic and ignoring the work-hardening and work softening in the stress-strain behavior of the soil. Theoretically, satisfying equilibrium and compatibility conditions simultaneously in the work equation are difficult. Therefore, limit analysis is divided into upper bound theorem and lower bound theorem that can provide a set of bounds to the true collapse condition for the slope. According to the Figure 1.1, the dashed line is representing perfectly plastic flow stress and it was defining that the perfectly plastic flow also representing an averaging work-hardening or work-softening of the material over the field of flow by Chen (1975).



Figure 1.1: Stress-strain relationship for ideal and real soils (Chen, 1975)

Excavations are essential while constructing geotechnical structures such as foundation, slope, embankment, retaining wall, earth dam and tunneling. There are some factors affecting the ground stability due to excavations such as stress-strain relationship of the soil, failure mechanism, homogeneous or heterogeneous soil, end effects of the cut face and etc. By considering these factors, we can analyze the base stability of excavations by using limit analysis method. Hence, obtain the critical height of the excavation.

The application of upper bound theorem is able to find out the collapse load by satisfying the strain-displacement boundary condition and compatibility. In the present, this method has been successfully solved stability problems due to excavation with the aid of computational and analytical methods. In 1975, Wai-Fah Chen has refined limit analysis method and defined the failure mechanism into two types which are translational mechanism and rotational mechanism. The details were proven can be used effectively in the geotechnical design and many researches are inspired to challenge more complicated slope stability problems such as excavation in soft soil, reinforced slope stability and foundations.

With the extensive development of technologies, the relevant analyses are more reliable to apply into actual excavation stability problems. The importance of base stability due to excavation is to assure the safety during the excavation, optimize the excavation duration and quality of work. Under these concerns, we can actually optimize the construction time and cost-effective if the results of the analysis are sufficiently accurate. In the study, upper bound theorem and valid failure mechanisms are the key to deal with the base stability of excavation.

1.2 Introduction of Upper Bound Theorem

Upper bound theorem stated that the external rate of work must be equal to the internal rate of dissipation and give an unsafe conditions or collapse must occur based on the postulated failure mechanism, at the same time satisfying the compatibility of strains and displacements. In this particular condition, the prediction of critical height is higher or equal to the actual critical height of slope. Therefore, upper bound theorem also named as unsafe analysis.

This method is adopting plasticity theory so that upper bound can be set regarding to the true collapse condition of slope. The postulated failure mechanism must have kinematically admissible velocity field and satisfying the mechanical boundary conditions. When the collapse load is achieved, the deformation of the slope remains constant and only plastic strain rates occur. Owing to the assumption of above, elastic strain rate is assumed to be small and can consider as zero for convenience. The accuracy of the upper bound solution in term of limit load, critical height and factor of safety are relying to the closeness of the true collapse condition. Apart from that, optimal failure mechanism of slope can be obtained through the comparisons between failure mechanisms including translational, rotational, circular, prism and cylindrical shapes with the same soil materials.

The general equation of upper bound theorem for any types of slope is proven by the principle of virtual work. In general, rate of work done by external forces are depending on the body forces created by self-weight and any external loads acting to the slope. The rate of work done by internal energy dissipation is depending on the cohesion developed at the surface discontinuities and frictional forces contributed by resultant normal force. For the three dimensional failure mechanisms, geometry of the failure mechanism has a better

definition than two dimensional failure mechanisms. Hence, integration can be used to define the geometry of failure mechanism in order to compute the work equation.

1.3 Problem Statement

In two dimensional failure mechanism of slope, infinite width is assumed along the axis perpendicular to the failure plane. Therefore, plain strain condition is appropriately applied in the slope stability problems. However, most of the small scale collapse region of slope has finite width. Thus, two dimensional failure mechanism of slope cannot properly model the characteristic of three dimensional failure mechanism of slope especially in landslide problems.

Besides, end effects of the three dimensional failure mechanism are important for the narrow area of excavation work such as trench excavation and stability problem related to the dam in steep-walled valleys (Baligh and Azzouz, 1975). From the theoretical point of view, three dimensional failure mechanism can be subjected to boundary constraints such as cut face and wall system which could cause the collapse mode in three dimensionally (Giger and Krizek, 1975). Previously, plain strain assumption in two dimensional failure mechanisms shows more critical than three dimensional failure mechanisms with no limit on the width of slope (Michalowski and Drescher, 2009). Therefore, well-defined width is considered in the work equations.

For the postulated failure mechanisms, upper bound theorem is used to analyze the critical height of the vertical slope. The mechanical properties are determined for the failure mechanisms. In order to satisfy the purpose of this study, some questions are aroused:

- i. What is the critical height of the unsupported vertical cut?
- ii. Which types of failure mechanism have more critical condition?
- iii. What is the practical range of width for the slope stability problems?

iv. What is the type of soil's materials?

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of this study are stated as below:

- i. To calculate the critical height of the excavation by using upper bound theorem.
- ii. To compare the results of the different types of failure mechanism based on the same constraints.
- iii. To evaluate the practical range of parameters for the postulated failure mechanisms

1.5 Scopes

In this study, some of the limitations have been determined for the sake of the simplicity and interest in this method. Unsupported vertical slope and no tension cut are considered in the three dimensional translational and rotational failure mechanisms. Upper bound theorem is the only method adopted to derive the formulations based on the failure mechanisms which are inspired by two dimensional translational and rotational failure mechanisms (Chen, 1975).

For the sake of comparison purpose, simplifications are made such as homogeneous soil, no tension cut and ignores the pore water pressure effects. Tresca's material and Coulomb's material are considered in the formulations of this study. In general, Tresca's material is considered as undrained soil condition ($c > 0, \phi = 0$) which is incompressible and without volume change during the deformations. For instance, clayey soil subjected to undrained condition in the slope is suitable to apply with the characteristic of Tresca's material. Coulomb's material is another soil materials considered in this study. Basically, Coulomb's material is popular and often used as the first approach in the slope stability analysis. Frictional angle (ϕ) and cohesion (c) are considered in the formulations of this study. It is more complicated when compared to the Tresca's material soil condition.

Three dimensional translational and rotational failure mechanisms are the extension of two dimensional failure mechanisms by introducing parameter of width. Three dimensional failure mechanisms have better definition than two dimensional failure mechanisms in terms of geometry. Definitely, it affects the formula pattern in rate of work done by external forces and rate of work done by internal energy dissipation. The rate of work done by internal energy dissipation is no longer defined in unit area due to the better definition of geometry in failure mechanisms. For the rate of work done by external forces, width (b) is added into the formulations when computing the volume of the rigid block. Therefore dimensionless ratio of stability factor is introduced in the study which can easily obtain the critical height of the vertical slope. The formulations also derived in the function of height so that direct comparisons can be observed.

Graphs and tables are made and compared with the previous studies. Semi-graphical method is used to obtain the critical upper bound solution in the study. Therefore, practical range of the parameters can be determined and validate the formulations in this study.